

Town of Minden



Board Meeting





The Minden Town Board meeting will be held at 5:30 p.m. on Wednesday, April 6, 2011, at 1602 Esmeralda Avenue, Minden, Nevada.

NOTICE: NRS 237: The Minden Town Board has adopted a Standard Policy No. 7, which contains a motion regarding Business Impact Statements. When the Town Board approves its agenda, it also approves a motion which includes ratification of staff action taken pursuant to NRS 237.030 et seq. with respect to items on the Board's agenda. This includes, without limitation, the conclusion that each rule, ordinance, or regulation which is on the Board's agenda is without direct and significant economic burden on a business or direct restriction on the formation, operation, or expansion of a business; and that each rule, ordinance, or regulation which is on the Board's agenda for which a BIS has *not* been prepared does not impose a direct and significant economic impact on a business or a direct restriction on the formation, operation, or expansion of a business.

NOTICE: to persons with disabilities: Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically handicapped persons desiring to attend the meeting. Please contact the Minden Town Office in writing at 1604 Esmeralda Avenue in Minden, Nevada or call 775-782-5976 in advance of the meeting, preferably at least 24 hours prior to the meeting, so that the arrangements may be conveniently made.

Copies of this notice and agenda have been posted on or before 9:00 a.m. on the third working day before the meeting at the following locations: Minden Administration Office, Minden Post Office, Douglas County Administrative Building, and CVIC Hall bulletin board.

I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS AND PUBLIC INTEREST COMMENTS

5:30 p.m. Call meeting to order.

1. Pledge of Allegiance
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Approval of minutes:

February 15, 2011, Water Workshop

March 2, 2011, Board Meeting

March 14, 2011, Budget Workshop

4. Approve and ratify vouchers and claims
5. Acknowledgement of gifts
6. Acknowledgement of service awards
7. Public comment: Discussion may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Chair. **No action** may be taken on a matter raised under this portion of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken.

II. STAFF REPORTS

Note: Items on the Administrative Agenda will not be discussed during staff reports.

1. Public Works: Monthly report of activities by Public Works staff.
2. Engineering Report: Discussion may include streets, sidewalks, drainage, water issues and related items.

Minden Town Board Agenda

April 6, 2011

Page 2 of 4

3. Attorney Report: Discussion may include factual and legal aspects of the performance by the Town and its advisory board of functions granted to the Town under provision of Chapter 269 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, the Douglas County Code, the Town ordinances and policies. These items include, without limitation, streets, sidewalks, drainage, water rights, water distribution rates, and Chapter 237 of NRS. This item may include a report on the status of the Ninth Judicial District Court Order affirming Nevada State Engineer Ruling 5791 entered February 24, 2010.

4. Manager's Report: Discussion may include a report on Manager's activities, progress on or status of assigned tasks and projects, or general information of interest to the Board.

5. Board member reports:

a. Chairman's report

b. Board member comments

III. ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA: The following item(s) are scheduled for public hearing. At the beginning of each item, the Chairman will read the Agenda item into the public record. Next, Town staff will present a summary of the staff report and recommendation, including any updated information that was received after the date staff reports were distributed. The Board will follow with questions of staff, and then the Chairman will open the hearing to public testimony. Normally, the applicant and/or their representatives are permitted to speak first, followed by Board questions, and then the Chairman will allow comments and questions from the public at large. The Chairman has the right to establish time limits for comments and allow for rebuttal.

1. (7:00 p.m.) Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for the Town of Minden to co-sponsor the Carson Valley Chamber of Commerce Bunco/Poker After Hours, April 14, 2011. Appearance by Carson Valley Chamber of Commerce.
2. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for the Town of Minden to: 1) co-sponsor/host a stop on the 2011 Hot August Nights Poker Run, August 11, 2010, from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., to include a street closure and use of Minden Park; 2) purchase lunches for volunteers in an amount not to exceed two hundred dollars (\$200); and 3) waive street closure and trash pickup fees, and provide general support to the event. Appearance by Carson Valley Visitor's Authority.
3. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for the Town of Minden to donate a one-time rental of CVIC Hall in exchange for erecting and removing shade structures in Minden Park during the Hot August Nights Poker Run, August 11, 2011. Appearance by Town Manager.
4. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for the Town of Minden to co-sponsor the Nevada Water Resources Association's Domestic Well Workshop, May 3, 2011. Appearance by Edwin James, General Manager of the Carson Water Subconservancy District.
5. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for the Town of Minden to modify, for this event only, the Minden Park rental agreement to include a street closure on a portion of 5th Street between Esmeralda Avenue and Mono Avenue, at no additional cost, for the Lion's Club Garage Sale, May 21, 2011. Appearance by Carson Valley Lion's Club.

Minden Town Board Agenda
April 6, 2011
Page 3 of 4

6. Presentation by Bill Souigny, for the Land Rover Picnic in Westwood Park, September 10, 2011.
7. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a proposal to direct Town Manager and Town Attorney to negotiate a new Lease for Debra White-Orr, dba 5th Street Salon, in the CVIC Hall Annex, 1604 Esmeralda Avenue, Suite 202, and present the lease for the Board's approval at a future Town Board meeting. The proposed new lease may provide for a one- or two-year lease term with renewal options. Appearance by Town Attorney.
8. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a proposal to direct Town Manager and Town Attorney to negotiate a new Lease for the East Fork Fire and Paramedic Districts in the Minden Fire Station addition, 1606 U.S. Highway 395, and present the lease for the Board's approval at a future Town Board meeting. The proposed new lease may provide for a three-year lease term with renewal options. Appearance by Town Attorney.
9. Discussion and possible direction to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny an agreement with the Bently Family Limited Partnership in the amount of \$194,198 for purchase of a 1.075+/- acre site for the proposed Heybourne Water Booster Pump Station and associated easements for water lines, with \$147,473 to be reimbursed by Douglas County, Carson City, and Indian Hills General Improvement District and \$46,725 to be paid by the Town of Minden.. Appearance by the Town Engineer.
10. Discussion and possible action to: 1) approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for reimbursement to Bently Agrowdynamics for disruption of irrigation system and loss of fields for the Buckeye Road (Buckeye Booster to Orchard Road) Water Transmission Main Project not to exceed \$7,450, and 2) if approved, authorize Chairman to execute easement documents for the same. Appearance by Town Engineer.
11. Discussion and possible action to: 1) examine, award, continue, or reject Bid for the installation portion of the Buckeye Road (Buckeye Booster to Orchard Road) Water Transmission Main Project to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder as defined in the contract documents. Staff recommends award of the contract to V&C Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$175,400.60; and 2) if a contract is awarded, authorize Chairman to execute Agreement for same. Appearance by Town Engineer.
12. Discussion and possible approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for the Town of Minden to be represented in various parade events in calendar year 2011. Appearance by Public Works Superintendent.
13. Discussion and possible direction to staff regarding the Agenda Preparation Policy for the Town of Minden. Appearance by Town Manager.
14. Discussion and possible direction to staff regarding the Snow Removal Plan for the Town of Minden. Appearance by Town Manager.
15. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for changes in staffing for the Town of Minden, effective in the Fiscal Year 2011-2012. This action may include discussion for a new full-time or seasonal position (maximum 1039 hours per year) and upgrading an existing on call position (maximum 38 hours per pay period) to a half-time position (38 hours per pay period). Any changes will be reflected in the Final Budget. Appearance by Town Manager.

Minden Town Board Agenda
April 6, 2011
Page 4 of 4

16. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny the Town of Minden's proposed capital projects list for the Fiscal Year 2011-2012. This item does not include projects previously approved and funded. This action may include discussion and approval of all or a portion of projects on the capital projects list. Appearance by Town Manager.

17. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny the Town of Minden's proposed equipment acquisition list for the Fiscal Year 2011-2012. This action may include discussion and approval of all or a portion of equipment on the acquisition list. Appearance by Town Manager.

18. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny the Town of Minden tentative budget for the Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Appearance by Town Manager.

IV. ADJOURNMENT



The Minden Town Board held a special meeting and workshop February 15, 2011, at the CVIC Hall, 1602 Esmeralda Avenue, Minden, Nevada, beginning at 5:30 p.m.

Board members present: Matt Bernard, Charles Condron, Roxanne Stangle, John Stephans, and Steve Thaler.

Staff present: Greg Hill, George Keele, Trish Koepnick, Bruce Scott and Roger Van Alyne.

1. Meeting was called to order by Chairman Stephans.
2. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mr. Thaler.
3. Stangle/Condron moved for approval of the agenda as published. Motion carried unanimously.
4. Public Comment:

Bob Pohlman presented the Board with a suggestion to install meters on all residential accounts, with a proposed time schedule to complete the program. Without metering there is no need perceived for conservation. He is working with the County and the Gardnerville Ranchos GID to do demos on new water saving sprinkler heads.

5. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request from Western Nevada Supply for a change order in the amount of \$109,881.81 to the Transmission Main Materials for the Buckeye Road (Heybourne Road to Virginia Ditch) Water Line Project. Appearance by the Town Engineer.

Mr. Scott said that this is a transfer of part of the cost of the multiple water system projects from one project to another. It would be a material purchase of some very expensive material that will be part of the ongoing project; this was originally planned for materials and installations as part of the next project. This was a planned part of the waterline project that connects the Booster Pump Station to Orchard Road. We will be out of the way when the facilities are constructed if we go ahead with this now.

The 4 inch conduit is for a future fiber optics line and is a relatively inexpensive change to be included as part of this. This is a material only change order for large size equipment including large fittings and valves. The only part we did not budget for is the fiber optic line that was not included in the original bid.

Mr. Van Alyne said that the \$13,789.00 for the conduit will be coming out of the 636 fund for the maintenance facility.

The bid by Western Nevada Supply is the hard cost for materials and is verified as a competitive price. Mr. Scott believed that there will be enough money in the contingency force account as part of the bid already approved. Mr. Van Alyne will bring back the summary of accounts to show the cost to make this happen. Savings from what is already approved will be used to cover the additional costs. The intention is to have

V & C put the pipe in the ground as part of their existing contract.

Mr. Thaler gave a reminder that we are still not fully funded in all of these projects. Mr. Van Alyne said that with the savings we have made up we are still short 1.3 million at this time. We will regroup before doing the final projects such as the North Minden Booster to see how to make up the money lacking.

Mr. Stephans said that there is a concern about addressing the short fall but that is another discussion.

Mr. Bernard asked whose role it is to chase down grants such as those for broadband connections. Mr. Scott said there is a company called the Broadband Group that is involved in work with the Department of Commerce concerning stimulus money already awarded to them. This entity has some fairly rigid time frames to put the program together and make the connections using existing conduits and fiber optics and potentially putting in others where they don't exist.

Public Comment:

Robert McMillan said that he did not believe the conduit would be used because they are installing fiber optics all over the place and not putting it in conduit. If it is used, utilities should pay the cost. There are too many unknowns for the Board to address this now. Also, we do not even know if we are going to have a booster station; the bid is not out yet and this needs to be thought out.

Thaler/Bernard moved to approve a request from Western Nevada Supply for a change order in the amount of \$109,881.81 to the Transmission Main Materials for the Buckeye Road (Heybourne Road to Virginia Ditch) Water Line Project. Motion carried unanimously.

6. Mr. Stephans adjourned the special meeting.

7. Public Workshop Meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m by Chairman Stephans.

8. Discussion and presentation to Town Board regarding the operations, business practices, and capital improvement program of the Town's wholesale and retail water systems.

Workshop was held to give an overview and training to the Town Board for the Town of Minden Water system. This workshop included an operations overview, business practices, and the capital improvement program. A copy of the workshop packet will be attached to the permanent record.

Condrion/Stangle motioned to adjourn at 7:40 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.



The Minden Town Board meeting was held at 5:30 p.m. on Wednesday, March 2, 2011, at 1602 Esmeralda Avenue, Minden, Nevada.

I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS AND PUBLIC INTEREST COMMENTS

5:30 p.m. Meeting was called to order by Chairman Stephans.

1. Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mr. Condron.
2. Mr. Van Alyne noted the request by tenant for item #7 to be pulled off of the agenda. Thaler/Condron moved for approval of the agenda as published. Motion approved unanimously.
3. Stangle/Bernard moved for the approval of minutes of the February 2, 2011 Board meeting. Motion approved unanimously.
4. Condron/Thaler moved to approve and ratify vouchers and claims.
5. Acknowledgement of gifts: none.
6. Acknowledgement of service awards: none
7. Public comment:

Barry Jones representing Carson Valley Lions Club told the Board about his hope of holding a new version of the Lions Club annual garage sale at Minden Park on May 21. It would include selling spaces for a flea market. They are looking for a permanent location, and it would be good for downtown activity. Mr. Jones will work with Roger to put this on next month's agenda. Mr. Jones also expressed a desire to sell food at the park for the summer concerts if possible.

Beverly Giannopoulos said that she did not support creating the manager position last year. She appreciates his dedication and abilities but is concerned about the budget. Perhaps he could be recognized in a non monetary way.

Bill Souligny is hoping to sponsor a Land Rover picnic in Westwood Park and will be working with Mr. Van Alyne on this.

Bob Pohlman requested that the Board give input to the County for the Master Plan update this year. Also on the agenda tomorrow there is a traffic planning item they might take notice of. Mr. Stephans said that he will be discussing with Mr. Pohlman budget depreciation. Mr. Thaler noted that the County will be asking us for input on the Master Plan.

II. STAFF REPORTS

Note: Items on the Administrative Agenda will not be discussed during staff reports.

1. Public Works: Monthly report of activities by Mr. Hill.

- a. Report consists of two written reports in the packet.
- b. Mr. Condron questioned the January overtime. Mr. Hill said that the overtime in January was due to holidays.
- c. Ms. Stangle asked for 24 hours notice on street work that affects business.
- d. Mr. Thaler asked to have a more detailed report showing a breakdown on employee overtime hours, especially showing snow removal hours. Mr. Hill said that it is a case by case assessment and a lot has to do with the weather forecast and if the snow will melt. Snow policy will tentatively be reviewed in April.

2. Engineering Report: Monthly report by Bruce Scott

a. Regarding the Buckeye Maintenance facility, FEMA has now re-evaluated the version of the flood modeling computer program the Town had been told to use, and no longer approves this program. Mr. Scott is hoping to go forward with the process and will be submitting to FEMA tomorrow. The grading permit will be allowed by the county within three weeks.

b. Mr. Scott is pleased with the costs on the waterline projects.

c. Mr. Scott is keeping an eye on legislative items concerning water issues.

Mr. Bernard asked if the CLOMR is holding up the process and if the contractor has been given a notice to proceed. Mr. Van Alyne said that we have entered into an agreement with Q&D, but no building permit has been issued because of the FEMA issues. There is no indication that the contractor will not be able to meet his obligation. Mr. Hill said that cold weather protection will not be needed because of the projected start date.

3. Attorney Report: Monthly report by George Keele

There have been some casualties of the economy within the Town, and Mr. Keele has been working with staff concerning liens. Our ordinance allows the filing of a judgment lien. It is first filed in small claims court, and the judgment in the amount of the arrearage is recorded as a lien that must be paid when the property is sold. Mr. Keele advised that the staff could handle the procedure which involves filing with the clerk of the court, recording a certified copy of the judgment and sending a copy to the owner on title. Normally, this shows as an outstanding debt and the credit rating is not clean; it expires after 6 years and is renewable. Applicable interest rates will follow. Lawsuits would be justified only if the outstanding debt warranted it. In respect to Chapter 7 bankruptcy, when there is notice of dismissal, collection efforts may resume; if there is a notice of discharge it is the end of any obligation.

A response has been filed to the Town's motion to dismiss the Tribal lawsuit, and we will update next month on the Tribal lawsuit.

Mr. Keele said he is committed to make sure that the Board has proper training. At the Board's convenience there, will be a visit by a member of the State Ethics committee and training

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 3 of 15

on changes in the Open Meeting Law most likely in May. Every two years the Board is to be made fully aware of changes made in this law.

4. Manager's Report: Monthly report by Mr. Van Alyne

Mr. Van Alyne apologized for not having the manager report in the packets. He touched on certain items in the report including:

- Possible alignment change in the Martin Slough.
- NV Energy is working with the Town on underground power and decorative lighting on HWY 395. We are in good shape on this; Mr. Hill will be taking the lead.
- There has been great interest in Cruise Nights, and they will probably be on May's agenda.
- Mr. Van Alyne made some interesting contacts at the legislature night.
- Frontier Communications is considering making Minden a Wi-Fi hot spot like Elko.
- Staff is trained in the report production of New World Systems but the processing of claims through this system is on hold indefinitely. As we get closer to being on line with this element we will present information to the Board.
- We are looking for ideas to promote Minden. Mr. Van Alyne asked for input on describing Minden in seven words or less, one of which must be the word 'Minden.'

Mr. Thaler said that he was at NV Energy meeting and noted that because of changes in pumping procedure, even though we have used more energy, we have saved money.

Mr. Stephans encouraged getting thoughts and focus on ideas for revitalizing Minden. Mr. Souigny will bring his Welcome Home t-shirt to the Town office to display.

5. Board member reports:

a. Chairman's report

All is well and good; we are working on some new ideas.

b. Board member comments

Ms. Stangle asked for feedback on the brochure to educate the public about the Wetlands.

III. ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA:

1. Presentation by Douglas County Engine Company

Dave Sheets, as well as Doug Sonneman and Henry Dreyer of the Engine Company gave the background and update on Lots 5&6 at Engine Company # 1. Dave Sheets had pursued the history and facts about the lots and building while he was a member of the Town Board. This action tonight is wrapping up his query. The Town owned the lots and the Engine Company built the two story structure on those lots in 1978, not realizing that the Town owned the lots, but that was never a problem. The building has 3 large bays downstairs housing fire equipment as well as the County exercise room. Upstairs is a large room that has many items appropriate for a museum. The Engine Company provided fire service for the town and

Minden Town Board Agenda
March 2, 2011
Page 4 of 15

county, but in recent years they ran out of volunteers. In 2006, the East Fork Fire District took over the operation of the station. It has been an interesting process trying to get this squared; the title research shows the Town is the clear owner of the lots and the Engine Company wants to formally present the Town with the building. Mr. Sonnemann and Mr. Dreyer, representing the Engine Company, remarked how much they appreciate the working relationship the Town had with the Engine Company.

Henry Dreyer presented the Town of Minden with the title to the 1966 Suburban Panel truck, the keys to the building and a check for \$5,000. The money is meant for the restoration of the suburban, the La France fire truck, or for doing something with the upstairs in the firehouse. Each Town Board member thanked the volunteers for all the hard work in the past and for giving back to the town; the volunteers were a huge part of Minden history.

Public comment:

Bev Giannopoulos said that she greatly appreciated knowing that when the siren sounded at the off hours, the volunteers were out protecting us. She misses the fire hose contests.

Pictures were taken and Mr. Dreyer wrapped it up by noting that the bell at the station was donated by Danny Hellwinkel to the Engine Company and is now the Town property.

2. Presentation by Capital Classic Productions, event promoter for the Main Street Event Car Show, August 19-21, 2011.

Brian McGill has had much more time to plan this year's show; it will be a three day event with lots of fun things happening. Friday night there will be vendors in the park, dinner in the hall and Route 66 will play on the street. On Saturday there will be a poker run, music in the park, and a sock hop in the Hall at night. Sunday will conclude the show.

Ms. Stangle welcomed them back; Mr. Thaler verified that this event immediately follows Hot August Nights. Mr. McGill expects lots of people attending that will be at Tahoe; the limit on the cars is 220. They learned a lot last year and will do much better this year. The Town will award a trophy again to the most deserving vehicle.

No Public comment.

3. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request from the Carson Valley Reforestation Group for a grant for additional trees and maintenance of trees along Highway 395 between Minden Junction (intersection with State Route 88) and Carson City. Appearance by Nick Koropchak.

Mr. Koropchak gave a brief history of the Carson Valley Reforestation project. The project starts just south of Stephanie Lane. He told the Board that an anonymous person is committed to donating \$4,000 if the Town of Minden pledges \$2,000. He said that the group has had no support from Douglas County, Gardnerville, or Genoa.

Mr. Scott reminded the Board that this began with Marsha Tomerlin's effort to beautify the entrance after the highway was widened, and Minden backed her efforts of replacing and caring for the new trees.

Mr. Thaler was assured by the manager that the budget had funding for this contribution.

No public comment.

Stangle/Condrion moved to approve the request from the Carson Valley Reforestation Group for a grant for

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 5 of 15

additional trees and maintenance of trees along Highway 395 between Minden Junction (intersection with State Route 88) and Carson City in the amount of \$1,000. Motion carried unanimously. Mr. Keele and Mr. Scott committed to splitting the remaining \$1,000 to bring the contribution to \$2000 from the Town.

4. Discussion and possible action to recommend approval, approval with conditions, continuance, or denial of Development Application (DA) 11-002 (Abandonment/Dedication): for Mike Pegram, G PEG II, LLC, Carson Valley Inn; Portion of 8th Street, between 1625 and 1627 Hwy 395 N, Minden, NV, APNs 1320-29-401-005, -011, and -012. Appearance by Manhard Consulting.

Mr. Keele retracted a disclosure last month concerning the Seeman trust, saying that this particular project has nothing to do with the trust and asked that the minutes be corrected to reflect this.

Mark Rotter representing Manhard addressed this item. CVI owner Mike Pegram and General Manager Steve Chappell were also present.

Mr. Rotter reviewed the project and how it came to be a street abandonment. In the design process for the parking lot at the Itildo parcel, the pedestrian access did not work well; they then moved into the concept of eliminating 8th Street access north of Highway 395, with the main access on the east side of Itildo site, and a drop-off on the east side of the casino. The issues that were brought up last month have been addressed with CVI, Town Staff, and NDOT. This included a turnaround just past the jail, the entrance access issue at Saletti's, and working with NDOT for the engineering issues with accomplishing this. Mr. Rotter said they were asking for the Town's approval, noting that the abandonment would be heard by the County Commission the next day.

Mr. Van Alyne noted that there would be on-site signage to help direct traffic to the correct exit.

Mr. Thaler said they appeared to have accomplished all that the Board asked and thanked Mr. Pegram for all he has done to make things look nice. Mr. Rotter said he is working with Mr. Scott and Mr. Hill to clean up easements for utilities, and that all costs for construction or moving of utilities will be borne by CVI.

Ms. Stangle questioned if there was a traffic study; there was a traffic analysis as required by NDOT that showed minor left hand movement for 8th Street at peak time, with most movement onto the highway already occurring at 6th Street. The new parking lot would not be used for special events, as the north side parking lot by the marquee sign is more suitable.

Mr. Condron said that it was hard to see an aesthetically nice building removed. He was told that landscaping trees would be set back from the highway, showcasing the view of the building.

Mr. Stephans noted that trees could cause site distance problems.

Mr. Bernard commented that it is not a perfect plan but it is a good plan.

Public:

Bev Giannopoulos asked questions to make sure that she understood the concept since she was not at last month's board meeting. Mr. Rotter verified that this was an abandonment of a portion of 8th and that there was enough room for two way traffic entering and exiting the parking lot. The net amount of parking is 28 spaces after losing some spots on 8th Street. The exit will change at Saletti's. Mr. Van Alyne noted the amount of the abandonment will be 19,793 square feet, and 1,132 sq feet will be dedicated to the public right of way.

Robert McMillan said that the Board needed to consider the tax consequences of this request. We

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 6 of 15

would be giving up 19,000+ square feet and a paved street without them paying a penny, plus they would be taking a property off of the tax roles. We also need to have them commit to building the turnaround at a definite time. He felt that they are using taxpayers' money to accomplish an objective for private enterprise. When abandoned, the CVI and all future owners will have that land for eternity unless purchased back. Please beware of what is happening today and what it will cost tomorrow.

Mr. Rotter said that the turnaround will be constructed with the parking lot. With respect to the right of way, the abandonment occurs per NRS but the tradeoff is that we have accomplished a better safer plan than the prior plan.

Bob Pohlman said he is mystified because the County said there was no traffic study, that it would be too expensive. He also questioned signage off site and on the highway. He hopes the Board knows that there is a plan to make Buckeye a four lane road to Heybourne, and turning left to Buckeye at Water Street may be even more difficult. Mr. Rotter said that NDOT asked for a traffic study. Signage is a combination of all entities, and they will be reviewed with the next step. 9th Street and 7th Street movements do not change, only 8th Street. A porte-cochere is a modification to the front of the current building that will come out to the turn out area; this can only happen with the 8th Street abandonment.

Sandy Deyo expressed concerns about the traffic flow with people coming off of Buckeye and believes the turnaround area will be very congested.

Bill Souigny sees this as a very positive change. He wondered if there is any consideration long term for the traffic wanting to turn left on Buckeye. Mr. Rotter said that they have not looked at this but would work with the Sheriff if needed.

Bev Giannopoulos does not want to see a functioning street turn into a dead end.

Thaler/Bernard moved to approve the Development Application (DA) 11-002 (Abandonment/Dedication): for Mike Pegram, G PEG II, LLC, Carson Valley Inn; Portion of 8th Street, between 1625 and 1627 Hwy 395 N, Minden, NV, APNs 1320-29-401-005, -011, and -012 Motion carried unanimously.

5. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request to refund all or a portion of the down payment for a water rights purchase by Mrs. Joanne Kynett for a proposed parcel map in the Johnson Lane area. If approved, the amount of refund will be established by the Town Board. Appearance by Town Engineer.

Mr. Bernard disclosed that he worked for the Kynetts in 2006, but intends to participate and will vote on this item.

Mr. Scott said that Mrs. Kynett had made application for water rights, and gave the Town the \$15,000 down payment. Her application got caught in the Pyramid Tribe protest, the project subsequently died and Douglas County withdrew the application. She has requested a refund in the amount that the Town did not use to prepare and file the application, which is the balance of \$13,033.69.

Mrs. Kynett gave the facts and said that she is here to answer any questions but it is fairly cut and dry.

Mr. Scott said that the complete costs of the Town have been taken out of the \$15,000, and there have been other applicants that have had refunds of their deposits.

No public comment.

Condron/Thaler moved to approve the request to refund a portion of the down payment for a water rights purchase by Mrs. Joanne Kynett for a proposed parcel map in the Johnson Lane area in the amount of

**Minden Town Board Agenda
March 2, 2011
Page 7 of 15**

\$13,033.69. Motion carried unanimously.

6. Discussion and possible action to: 1) examine, award, continue, or reject Bid for the Transmission Main Materials for the Buckeye Road (Virginia Ditch to Orchard Road) Water Line Project to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. Staff recommends award of the contract to Western Nevada Supply in the amount of \$267,536.40. 2) If bid is awarded, authorize Chairman to execute Agreement for same. Appearance by Town Engineer.

Mr. Scott noted that this is the material bid for the pipeline from the Booster pump to where we started the first waterline project on Orchard. The bid got prices on ductile iron as well as PVC. This particular stretch of pipe is the highest pressure in the entire system, ductile iron is a bit more expensive but we are recommending that it is an excellent value for the town for this kind of money. Western Nevada Supply has been awarded the last two bids, and their cost for the preferred alternative is the cheapest; also, they are local and we get a 2% discount if paid promptly. Last month we did a change order bid, and that material would have been on this bid.

Mr. Van Alyne noted that we are bound by the bid document and we have to award at the bid amount, which does not reflect the 2% savings.

Mr. Thaler gave kudos to the bids being under the engineer estimates; he questioned why every bid was under the engineer estimate, especially as we try to make up what we are short. Mr. Scott said we try to reflect previous bids, and do not want to be the high bidder. It helps to keep bids as clean as possible. If material is cheaper and installation is higher there is no savings. We were a bit delayed this month in getting the summaries together and reflecting the most current information, we will try to get that in more promptly.

No public comment.

Bernard/Condron moved to award Bid for the Transmission Main Materials for the Buckeye Road (Virginia Ditch to Orchard Road) Water Line Project to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, Western Nevada Supply, in the amount of \$267,536.40 and to authorize Chairman to execute Agreement for same. Motion carried unanimously.

7. Discussion and possible action to: 1) approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a one (1) year Lease Extension for Debra White-Orr, dba 5th Street Salon, in the CVIC Hall Annex, 1604 Esmeralda Avenue, Suite 202; or, 2) direct staff to negotiate a new lease with Debra White-Orr, dba 5th Street Salon, in the CVIC Hall Annex, 1604 Esmeralda Avenue, Suite 202. Appearance by Town Manager.

Mr. Van Alyne noted that Ms. Orr is not available tonight; as indicated in the approval of the agenda, she had requested that this item not be heard tonight. After the cover memo was published, she indicated that she would like to negotiate a new lease with staff.

Mr. Thaler said he has major concerns with contemplating a new lease agreement when we have outstanding balances owed to us. It appears that the CVIC Hall being in the red is due to the current tenant not being up to date in her payments to the Town. This is not fair to the taxpayers when people are using the office space and not paying. He had several questions that he would have directed to Ms. Orr. Does she sublease, and if so, are the sub-lessees current on their rent to her, thereby she is choosing not to pay us? What would she do if her sublessees were not paying her?

Mr. Van Alyne said that she does have sub lessees but does not know the arrangement.

Mr. Condron questioned how much further in the hole we go; he shares Mr. Thaler's concerns.

Minden Town Board Agenda
March 2, 2011
Page 8 of 15

Ms. Stangle would like to see the Town Manager meet with lessee; since we have taken this long, we should try to recover the money per an agreement. She is in no way defending the situation but thought that negotiating was more apt to create a better opportunity to recover funds.

Mr. Stephans does not want the Town to set a precedent of becoming the bank for a commercial venture. From a legal standpoint, are we opening up a new area and putting the Town in a bad spot; we need to be consistent. For the record, we have practices in place now that will assure that this type of problem is addressed sooner.

Mr. Thaler appreciates what Ms. Stangle said but in a lease agreement it addresses what to do when there is a default. We have an avenue in small claims court.

Mr. Keele said he takes responsibility for the error on the face of the lease because there is some ambiguity concerning 60 and 90 days negotiation. The rent for the new term needs to be negotiated at least 60 days prior to the expiration of the lease per Ms. Orr's lease. She probably has until April 1 of this year but it must be at a regular board meeting, which is after April 1. The bottom line is that because of this ambiguity you can probably authorize someone to negotiate and, provided that you voted in favor of it, she would have no later than April 1 to meet the conditions established today. By not appearing at today's meeting it could be interpreted that she has forfeited her right to negotiate, as there are no more regular meetings prior to April 6. The other way is simply to declare that we are not going to renew the lease but we would give her the opportunity to enter into a brand new lease subject to the terms of the board. Current lease would remain in effect until May 31.

Public comment

Bill Souigny said that he appreciates the diligence and hard work involved with this issue and he agrees with Mr. Thaler that we need to resolve this.

Bev Giannopoulos questioned why this went on so long and what is really going on here? The tenant is not here tonight and if we decide to work with her in the future, it should be a month to month to prove her credibility.

Condron/Thaler move to deny the one (1) year Lease Extension for Debra White-Orr, dba 5th Street Salon, in the CVIC Hall Annex, 1604 Esmeralda Avenue, Suite 202; motion carried unanimously.

8. Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue, or deny a request for the Town of Minden to sponsor the Esmeralda Avenue Farmer's Markets on multiple dates in 2011. Appearance by Town Manager.

Ms. Stangle excused herself from voting as she is involved with this project.

Mr. Van Alyne said that the Farmers Market started in 2007. This needs to be acted on by the Board to make sure these items stay current. This brings a lot of people to Minden with minimal cost and support by the Town. He added that one of the downtown merchants would like to condition approval on ensuring that the driveways are open.

Public comment:

Bill Souigny said that the concept is great but it is too small of a focus, it benefits too few, and does not give much revenue to the Town. Perhaps we could try in an area such as Ironwood Center to benefit other businesses besides just the downtown.

Howard Herz said that he is involved in putting on the market, and the primary vision is that it

Minden Town Board Agenda
March 2, 2011
Page 9 of 15

benefits the downtown area. He noted that they are very careful not to block the entrance to the CVIC Hall parking lot, and they are diligent about fire lanes.

Thaler/Condrion moved to approve the request for the Town of Minden to sponsor the Esmeralda Avenue Farmer's Markets on multiple dates in 2011. Motion carried unanimously.

9. Discussion and possible action on: 1) the annual performance evaluation of Minden Town Manager Roger Van Alyne, for the evaluation period March 2010 to February 2011; and, 2) at the discretion of the Minden Town Board, Mr. Van Alyne may receive a merit increase of 0-6 percent and/or a bonus of up to three percent and/or 1-3 days paid administrative leave. No public comment will be taken at this time, so anyone wishing to comment should do so during the public comment section at the beginning of the meeting.

Mr. Stephans said we would be addressing Mr. Van Alyne's strong points, room for improvement and goals that are measurable. Each Board member has a responsibility to give the specific goals they have in mind for him and if he has difficulties with these he needs to get back to the Board later. Mr. Van Alyne has been presented with a written evaluation and he has given them input on what he has accomplished. Three Board members have had limited time on the Board, and are too new to rate him in some areas, but each Board member will give verbal input tonight.

Mr. Van Alyne said that he requested an open meeting for this evaluation; the open meeting law requires that any official who serves at the will of an elected board must have the evaluation done in a public meeting if done by the entire body and he prefers to hear from the entire Board about his performance.

MR. THALER'S EVALUATION COMMENTS:

Evaluations are about perceptions. This is not meant to be all positive, but to give him the Board's perception of him during the last year, and how to improve as a town manager. There are no areas unsuccessful, but many need improvements. At the end, his overall rating is that he meets expectations.

RATINGS

1. Staff development (Needs Improvement) He would like to see Mr. Van Alyne meet with all the town employees individually over the next couple of months. Through these meetings determine the issues raised on the part of the employees and put a plan of action together to correct these issues. He would like to have a written action plan for staff to follow if the Manager is gone; insuring that if he is gone, Trish can do his job.
2. Leadership internal (Needs improvement) He would like to see Mr. Van Alyne work closer with the office staff. Concerns have been raised that Mr. Van Alyne is not approachable or is quick to blame when projects are not completed on time.
3. Supervision (Needs improvement) Mr. Van Alyne appears to delegate a large majority of his work, and needs more work product.
4. Job Organization (Needs improvement) Mr. Van Alyne should spend more time in the office rather than going to meetings all week long. He has had an open door but he needs to get things done. He should prioritize his week with activities which he and the Board have identified as important to the Town. Examples of this would be the Budget, Accounts Payables, Contracts, and any projects given to him by any Board member.
5. Execution of Policy (Meets expectations) He has done very well in setting up agendas, guidelines and feedback.

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 10 of 15

6. Strategic Planning (Needs Improvement) I don't believe that Mr. Van Alyne has clear goals or objectives identified. This may be in part due to a transitional Board. Regardless, Mr. Van Alyne should be identifying goals for himself and for the Town and make them available to the Town Board.
7. Budget (Needs Improvement) This should be Mr. Van Alyne's first priority; this year we are three months behind the curve. More attention needs to be spent on the Budget Performance and the development of the yearly budget. Recommendations should be made to the Board in the beginning of the year in regards to areas of concern such as revenue projections, overspending, and plans for the upcoming year.
8. Communication (Meets Expectations)
9. Reporting (Meets Expectations)
10. Board Communications (Meets expectations)
11. Response to Board (Needs Improvement) Mr. Van Alyne needs to be more positive in response to the Board members' suggestions for improvements. He appears from time to time to be condescending to Board members and often interrupts them as they speak. He should not make a habit of trying to point out what questions Board members might want to ask in the Board meetings. He would like to see the lighter side of Roger more often.
12. Written Communication (Meets Expectations)
13. Productivity (Needs Improvement) Mr. Van Alyne should prioritize his weeks and what he wants to get accomplished during that time frame. Often times the majority of his week is spent attending meetings rather than attending to the Town business such as Accounts Receivables, Budget, etc.
14. Stress Management (Needs Improvement) Mr. Van Alyne should work on his ability to resolve problems with greater tact. He wears his emotions on his sleeve which can be counterproductive. It has been evident in meetings with Mr. Van Alyne that he shows his emotions way too often, sometimes giving the appearance of disrespect toward individual Town members.
15. Community Relation (Meets Expectations)
16. Community Reputation (Meets Expectations) You have done a great job getting out there and being known.
17. Professional Reputation (Meets Expectations).
18. Intergovernmental Relations (Meets Expectations).
19. Imagination (Needs improvement) Mr. Van Alyne should set his goals for the Town, coming up with ideas to the Board on how to improve the Town. He should present ideas to the future.
20. Objectivity (Meets Expectations)
21. Drive (Meets Expectations)
22. Judgment and Decisiveness (Meets Expectations)
23. Attitude (Needs improvement) Mr. Van Alyne should work on his relationships with staff; they need to respect him not because he demands it but because he has earned it. These relationships should be

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 11 of 15

improved on over the next year.

24. Initiative (Needs improvement) Mr. Van Alyne needs to take the initiative with his employees. He should be proactive with them and, as stated before, meet with them individually to determine what issues may be at hand.
25. Integrity (Meets Expectations) Never questioned this.
26. Self Assurance (Needs improvement) Mr. Van Alyne needs to work on his ability to take constructive criticism from Board members. He at time appears to talk down to them or is demeaning in what he says. He should take responsibility for his or his subordinate's mistakes. Often times he has been seen placing blame on someone else for what should have been seen as his mistake.

BOARD MEMBERS' TOP PRIORITIES

1. Budget: This is the number one priority, presenting it to the board with projections and shortfalls.
2. Personnel: Meet with Town personnel individually. Make sure all evaluations are up to date and on time for all personnel.
3. Economic vitality: Provide continuing ideas to the Board.
4. Town Improvement ideas.
5. Improve relationships with key colleagues.
6. Improve self delivery.

STRONG POINTS

1. Provides weekly updates to Board members.
2. Provides comprehensive monthly Board meeting agenda.
3. Meets with general public.
4. Flexible in meeting with Board members.
5. Represents himself professionally.

WEAK POINTS

1. Town of Minden budget and bookkeeping process.
2. Relationship with other key county officials.
3. Needs to take ownership of the day to day operations of the Town such as Accounts Receivables and Payables.
4. Needs to improve interpersonal skills with staff and board members.

Suggestions and specific direction:

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 12 of 15

- Provide Board members with meeting material earlier than 5 days before the meeting.
- Needs to set Manager priorities for the year and present at the first meeting in January.
- Needs to develop a plan for employee training.
- Needs to work on becoming a better listener.

GENERAL COMMENTS/DIRECTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

- Set your priorities for the year.
- Meet with each Board member and come up with a comprehensive list of Board priorities and present to the Board at a future meeting.
- Review Meeting agendas for accuracy.
- Meet with all the employees individually in the next 30-60 days.
- Work to develop a draft economic plan for the Town of Minden.

MR. STEPHANS EVALUATION COMMENTS:

STRONG POINTS:

- Appreciates the weekly updates that he provides to the current board.
- Very professional; represent the Town nicely. Good job as ambassador.
- High standards of integrity beyond reproach.
- Very flexible, although this can take way from job responsibilities. He appreciates his responsiveness to the Board.

IMPROVEMENTS

- Budget process: would like to see Roger take the imitative in the budget process and keep the board involved in it.
- Economic development: Ironwood, Minden Village, Gateway, and Downtown: We always need to look and see what we can do.
- Staff development: In his working life, Mr. Stephans hired smarter people than himself; they can make you look good. We need to do to be ready when our current staff retires or is gone. In the budget process, we need to address staff development.
- Daily fiduciary responsibilities: We are now having monthly AR and AP meetings which Mr. Stephans would like to back away from and leave to staff, with limited hands-on involvement from the Board.

GOALS

Budget, staff development, ongoing economic development, and development of Mr. Van Alyne as the

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 13 of 15

goodwill ambassador for the Town as the Town manager are the top goals.

Overall, Mr. Van Alyne meets expectations. It has been an up and down year, with a real learning curve for the old and new Board as well as Mr. Van Alyne. He believes that we can at times agree to disagree but remain in a good working relationship to be effective and work towards what is good for the Town of Minden. He appreciates Roger's candor, professionalism and integrity. He encouraged not only closer work with the staff but also with Mr. Scott and Mr. Keele, who have a priceless knowledge base, history and insight.

MR. CONDRON'S EVALUATION COMMENTS:

He stated that he would have a succinct approach, based on his limited experience. His comments would be in addition to what has already been said.

Strong points:

- Demonstrates exceptional ability to communicate with him as a new Board member. Specifically, he provides the Board with a weekly update of his activities and meetings. He has provided a schedule of upcoming events with as much lead time as possible for planning and scheduling. He has made himself available for face to face meetings whenever requested and always in a timely format. His efforts to provide detailed information regarding meeting agenda items to promote the decision making process is much appreciated.
- He has proven himself very effective at planning and presenting workshop opportunities for the new Board members. This effort has helped diminish the steep learning curve that faces all newly elected officials. He has also made available many opportunities to learn about the many facets of the Town of Minden operations. His efforts have enhanced the transition into the new Board member's roles and responsibilities.

Weak points:

- Mr. Van Alyne has a large volume of written information he needs to compile for Board Meetings, workshops, planning sessions and town communications. At times this information has been inaccurate, out of sequence, or hard to follow. It is suggested that Roger spends time reviewing this written material and have it proofread and reviewed by a third party. This effort will improve the effectiveness and accuracy of the written public information.

Suggestions:

With Mr. Van Alyne and the majority of the Board being new to the positions it is important that both parties work hard at establishing roles in managing the Town of Minden's needs. It is suggested that Roger pursue more detailed clarification of his role when making decisions or taking actions on policies or directions as established by the Board. This is not a clear cut area of decision making, requiring a diligent effort on both parties to clarify intentions and directions.

Specific goals:

- In developing the budget, it would be helpful to give a three to four year report with percentage changes as well as other budget comments.
- Report on Minden businesses and how we can support existing and promote new businesses.
- Cross training staff on all levels is critical.

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 14 of 15

Most critical and top priority is that you cannot function without a really good vision. The Board should establish a list of measurable goals for the Town Manager to accomplish during the upcoming year. This will assist in the evaluation of Mr. Van Alyne's performance as well as move the Town and Board toward a vision for Minden's future. Priorities should be set around the vision. The goals for Mr. Van Alyne should be determined by the goals for the Town. In doing the update of the Plan for Prosperity, we should define a mission and vision for the future, from which can come very specific action plans and time frames. Defining this vision is the number one critical component, and should include public input.

Mr. Condrón's mark for Mr. Van Alyne would probably be "exceeds expectation" because he does not even know what the expectations are yet in his short time on the board.

MS. STANGLE'S EVALUATION COMMENTS

Ms. Stangle said that she is still trying to figure out her role. She has respect for Mr. Van Alyne in that they have agreed to disagree at times. She hopes the communication is improving but sees this as the area needing the most improvement for the Board, the staff and Mr. Van Alyne. It will take time and energy for all, especially since there was never a manager before, and the operation was much looser in the past in respect to formal ways of getting things done. The biggest challenge is establishing a better relationship and communication between Roger and staff as a whole. She has felt at times that she works for him as opposed to him working for the Board; it should be about everyone working together for the good of the community. She appreciates him directly talking to her about any issue. As far as goals, she does not disagree with anything that has been said by the Board so far, and a year from now she will have much more to say.

MATT BERNARD'S EVALUATION COMMENTS:

He believes it is his responsibility to participate even though he has had very little time on the Board. He feels that Mr. Van Alyne was put into a very difficult position when he was hired, and it seems it was not too clear what the Board's intentions were for him. Roger has a strong personality, and at times that can prove to be detrimental. As an advocate for Minden, Roger needs to be a better team builder and not come on so strong. His role is be a liaison with the staff and Douglas County, and he needs to take the lead to better the relationship with Douglas County. There is no question about Mr. Van Alyne's integrity, and Mr. Bernard, in his quest for as much information as possible, appreciates being able to walk into his office and always be given time. He echoed the comments of Mr. Stephans concerning the value and expertise of Mr. Scott and Mr. Keele. He does not remember a time when an item such as CVI tonight did not have a formal correspondence from the Town Engineer concerning conditions, etc. He encouraged using Mr. Scott at the same level as the former Board did because he values all the input he can get. All in all he meets expectations. The manager job had a difficult beginning; they are still figuring out their roles and his role, and he hopes to develop a sense of teamwork as they learn these roles.

9 Agenda item Part 2: At the discretion of the Minden Town Board, Mr. Van Alyne may receive a merit increase of 0-6 percent and/or a bonus of up to three percent and/or 1-3 days paid administrative leave.

Mr. Thaler said that is important to recognize that Douglas County is going through huge financial problems; our revenue projections do not look good and we probably have not hit rock bottom yet. Now is not the time to pass out bonuses and merit increases. We have a lot of money to make up, and knowing the private sector is getting woken up by huge expenses of the public sector, it would be a bad message to send.

Mr. Condrón agreed with Mr. Thaler, it is just not the time; he cannot support spending more money. Please note that this is not a no-confidence vote.

Ms. Stangle agreed that a raise would not be fiscally responsible at this point.

Minden Town Board Agenda

March 2, 2011

Page 15 of 15

Mr. Thaler said that we as a Board can determine that if an employee is working above and beyond, and that employee can be recommended for a bonus.

Mr. Stephans said that he tends to agree with the Board. We need to look at this with open eyes, and this applies not only to this process but the rest of the staff.

Part 1: Thaler/Stangle motioned that the evaluation that Roger Van Alyne has been provided along with comments added for the last section of the evaluation from this meeting, as proofed by the chairman, be forwarded on to Human Resources as soon as possible. Motion carried unanimously.

Part 2: Thaler/Condrón motioned that due to the economic time and uncertainties over budget that Roger Van Alyne not receive a merit increase at this time. Now is not the time to be giving raises, but stressed that it has nothing to do with Roger's evaluation. Motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

Thaler/Condrón moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:18 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.



The Minden Town Board held a Public Workshop Meeting to discuss the structure and organization of the Town budget on Monday, March 14, 2011, at the Town Manager's Office, CVIC Hall Annex, 1604 Esmeralda Avenue, Suite 201, Minden, Nevada, beginning at 6:00 p.m.

Board present: Matt Bernard, Charles Condron, Roxanne Stangle, John Stephans, and Steve Thaler.
Staff present: Trish Koepnick, Bruce Scott, Tim Russell, Roger Van Alyne.

- 1 The public workshop meeting was called to order by Chairman Stephans.
2. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Steve Thaler.
3. Stangle/Condron moved for approval of the agenda

Mr. Stephans spoke of an issue related to the budget that is appropriate to address tonight. It concerns the deficit of the County and the possibility that the County would reduce the tax rate for Minden from to .71 to .64 in the general fund which comes from property tax, and this reduction will raise the tax rate for the County. They are also looking at Gardnerville and Indian Hills. The people of Minden would still pay the same but Minden would not receive the revenue. He said that Minden has been fiscally responsible and should not be penalized for that, as well as the fact that we have cooperated and worked extremely well with the County concerning the water agreements. Minden is already taking a hit because the State Taxation Department has notified us of a cut in revenue. He believes that it is necessary at this time to freeze wages and hiring. We also need to address the shortfall in the funding of our six major projects.

4. Public Comment:

Bob Hadfield referred to his knowledge of the situation from his own experience as County Manager. Michael Brown had quoted a state law that Mr. Hadfield had helped to get passed which allows the County to do this, but Mr. Hadfield knows that there are other statutes which allow for municipalities to enter into agreements as to how the dispersion of revenue takes place. There is a process called "buy down," and it allows the County to have an equal amount of money that they would have generated had they had that tax rate. The purpose is to get more revenue out of the general County; the problem would come if the County does not enter into an interlocal agreement to give that revenue back. The difficulty with this is that the County does not pay for our roads and parks, but they would be taking the tax rate that we use to maintain them, so it is in effect a double hit. Minden has contributed greatly to the overall financial capability of the County. Mr. Hadfield is greatly concerned with the prospect that the specialness of Minden will diminish because our roads and parks will suffer. Minden has a higher property tax rate which helps us have better roads and great parks. The County would take our money but give us nothing; it will benefit the rest of the County but Minden will suffer and this will be reflected in the property values. If they are going to take the money, what services will they provide? Mr. Hadfield is in the legislature defending the County because County is getting dumped on. He is not against Douglas County; he has been a County person his entire life. He understands that the Town's Board is advisory, but if they were not here the Town would have no parks and poor roads. There is little recognition for what the Minden Board does for the residents of Minden as well as for the County by providing services that the County would have to provide if Minden did not provide them, not to mention the lack of property taxes collected from the County buildings in Minden. It is not reasonable and not fair. Mr. Brown can quote the statute all

Minden Town Board Workshop

March 14, 2021

Page 2 of 3

he wants, but there are agreements between many entities such as Washoe County, Reno, Sparks, Clark County and Henderson, who have collaborated to make it work, and they understand that they do not just take the money. He does not understand how he can be asked to protect the County from the same "push it down" approach in the legislature when the County appears to be applying it here. It is a matter of common courtesy that the County should have the respect for the Town Board and talk to them before even coming up with a proposal. The County is not run by one person, nor is the Town run by one person, but the veil of secrecy that he observed and that the old Minden Board complained about for years seems to continue. Minden deserves better. This is his home and they are potentially affecting his property value by forcing Minden not to do some of the good things that made Minden more desirable, as opposed to some other County areas. It is not Minden's fault that the County did not live up to their fiscal responsibility, and they did not have the courage to do what they needed to do years ago. The Minden Board did what was needed to do to assure a sound financial future for Minden and provide the services that the residents wanted and needed by increasing property taxes, and the County did not care; does this mean Minden is bad because Minden takes care of things and now the County wants the money? He does not understand.

Ray Wilson said that he was concerned because Minden has a new Board; if the County starts taking money, the next thing you know they will take the water system. He believes they will try to take over the Gardnerville water system and MGSD as well. MGSD is bringing it to a head as far as the County telling them what to do; MGSD will be doing work to their own standards not the County standards. He believes that the County is after their money, and the fact that the rates for MGSD are so much lower than the County's looks very bad for the County.

Ross Chichester said that Mr. Wilson makes a very good point. We have raised our tax rate in order to take care of Minden; the problem is that this is an easy place to take money from every year. Because property values are down, Minden has taken a hit, and this could be worse next year because property values will probably continue going down. Why is the County not looking at entities that the County has control over to lower their tax rate? Perhaps some services that the County gives should be looked at and modified. It is not fair to ask Minden residents to take a double hit.

Robert McMillan noted that last years' budget worksheet was 22 pages and this year with a manager it is 68 pages, and this is without the wholesale water fund yet. He did not understand how the last column works and how it adds up, and he would like to know who the Town accountant is who could answer these kinds of questions. Mr. Stephans said that hopefully in the process of the budget workshop his questions would be answered and if not we will get answers for him.

Mr. Hadfield encouraged meeting with the County Commissioners about the tax rate issue, and if nothing changes, this could be addressed in the newsletter to the Minden residents so they know they will be paying the same taxes and getting less services.

Mr. Condron appreciated the large number of people present tonight and the help of the former Board members in this process.

Mr. Bernard said that he would be interested in meeting with Mr. Hadfield to learn more about what is allowed by state statute concerning the tax rate issue.

Mr. Keele noted that he has been informed by Gardnerville's attorney that Gardnerville would be happy to work with Minden over this matter.

Mr. Wilson said that MGSD is trying to arrange for a meeting with local entities' lawyers and engineers to speak to these issues.

Mr. Stephans said he understands that Michael Brown is intending to speak to each of the County Commissioners concerning this. It will only take the votes of three Commissioners to approve it. Minden, Gardnerville, and Indian Hills would sit down with the County and take a vote, and then it would go to the

Department of Taxation. The only thing offered from the County is economic development money. His main concern is that once it starts it will be hard to stop. He believes that he has a right to voice his opinion as chairman and greatly appreciates the input of the former Board members on this issue.

5. PUBLIC WORKSHOP MEETING: Discussion and presentation by Town Manager and staff to Town Board regarding the structure and organization of the Town's budget. The basis for discussion will be the draft tentative budget for Fiscal Year 2011-2012 (FY 11/12). The tentative budget will NOT be approved at this Public Workshop Meeting. Approval of the FY 11/12 tentative budget is currently scheduled for the regular Town Board meeting on April 6, 2011.

Budget workshop was held in preparation for the submittal of the tentative budget for 2011-2012.

6. Thaler/Stangle motioned to adjourn at 8:20 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.